

**Children and Families Monthly Dataset**

Nov 16

Qualitative measures:		
Positive	Similar	Negative

Key to direction of travel:			
Increase 10% or more	↑	Similar	→
Decrease 10% or less	↓		

Ref	Description	Data owner	Data accountability	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	% change from Oct 16	% change from Oct 15	12 month average	12-mnth max value	Derived from annual:			November commentary
																						SN	Nat.	SE Bench-marking Group	
CP1	Number of Section 47 (S47) enquiries started	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	131	104	86	120	98	93	105	139	126	126	101	89	106	109	→ 3	→ 5	108	139	89	88	125	
CP1-NI	Section 47 (S47) enquiries rate per 10,000 children	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	27	22	18	25	20	19	22	29	26	26	21	18	22	22	→ 3	→ 2	22	29	15	12	13	
CP2	Number of children subject to Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs), excluding transfer-ins and temporary registrations	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	47	36	36	60	37	49	32	48	56	62	41	19	17	48	↑ 182	↑ 33	42	62	39	37	50	Numbers in the previous two months had been affected by a reduction in referrals from the Child in Need Team. These changes are recent and it will be important to identify the longer term trend; alongside quality assurance work to test out decision making around whether or not to proceed to ICPC.
CP2b	Number of transfer-ins	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	New measure from Sep 16	New measure from Sep 16	New measure from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	2	1	1	→ 0	n/a	1	2	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	This is a new measure (Sept 16) and it will be necessary to further develop the data set in order to provide constructive analysis.
CP2b %	Percentage of transfer-ins where child became subject to a CP Plan during period	Jane White	Navlet Feron	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	n/a	n/a	0.0%	0.0%	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	This is a new measure that is yet to yield information for feedback.
CP2-NI	Rate per 10,000 Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	10	8	8	13	8	10	7	10	11	13	8	4	3	10	↑ 182	↑ 30	9	13	77	62	60	A positive trend over the previous two months had put Southampton LA below statistical neighbour average and the national average. However, November's rate is more indicative of the overall picture. The monthly average puts the local rate higher than SN, Nat and SE Bench marking. Audit activity has been commissioned to better understand local trends.
CP3-QL	Percentage of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) held within timescales (based on count of children)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	91.5%	58.3%	75.0%	70.0%	40.5%	75.5%	22.3%	100.0%	58.9%	56.5%	63.4%	73.7%	70.6%	45.8%	↓ -35	↓ -21	62.7%	100.0%	79%	75%	92%	The % equates to 10 conferences. Staff changes impacted upon five of the conferences. Of the other five: two were agreed for correct professional reasons, a social worker could not attend for one, and there were late referrals for the final two.
CP3-QL (val)	Number of children subject to Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) which were held within timescales (excludes transfer-ins)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	43	21	27	42	15	37	16	46	33	35	26	14	12	22	↑ 83	→ 5	27	46	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	See above.
CP4	Percentage of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) resulting in a Child Protection Plan (based on count of children)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	83.0%	88.9%	86.1%	95.0%	91.9%	89.8%	59.4%	66.7%	80.4%	91.9%	82.9%	89.5%	88.2%	91.7%	→ 4	→ 3	84.5%	95.0%	88.4%	87.1%	86.1%	The November % is comparable to the previous two months, but higher than the 12 month average. Longer term trend analysis is required, given that the impact of CiN referrals is relatively recent.
CP4 (val)	Number of Initial Child Protection Conferences (ICPCs) resulting in a Child Protection Plan (based on count of children) (excludes transfer-ins)	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	39	32	31	57	34	44	19	32	45	57	34	17	15	44	n/a	↑ 38	35.75	57.00	34	35	817	See above
CP5-QL	Percentage of new Child Protection Plans (CPP) where child had previously been subject of a CPP at any time	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	12.8%	15.6%	35.5%	15.8%	47.1%	20.5%	15.8%	6.3%	17.8%	31.6%	2.9%	29.4%	46.7%	34.1%	↓ -27	↑ 118	25.3%	47.1%	15.3%	16.6%	17.1%	The % has reduced since the last month but the figure (see below) is higher. Specific audit activity in January 2017 will look at repeat plans.
CP5-QL (val)	Number of new Child Protection Plans (CPP) where child had previously been subject of a CPP at any time	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	5	5	11	9	16	9	3	2	8	18	1	5	7	15	↑ 114	↑ 200	9	18	5	6	7	See above
CP6B	Number of children with a Child Protection Plan at the end of the month, excluding temporary registrations	Jane White	Stuart Webb	347	335	315	346	344	337	310	306	317	335	360	349	329	344	→ 5	→ 3	333	360	329	331	410	The November figure is higher than the 12 month average, after a more positive position last month. Strategies in place to respond to the current level include management review of cases subject to CPP for more than 12 months; subsequent alerts and increase level of formal CP review; case audits.
CP6B-NI	Child Protection Plan (CPP) rate per 10,000	Jane White	Stuart Webb	72	70	66	72	72	70	65	64	64	68	73	71	67	70	→ 5	→ 0	69	73	54	41	43	The Southampton rate remains higher than SN and the national average. A review of strategies employed by higher performing SN will be explored in 2017 to establish any learning that would inform our own performance improvement.
CP7	Number of ceasing Child Protection Plans, excluding temporary registrations	Jane White	Stuart Webb	29	40	43	24	38	52	43	39	28	37	14	25	32	29	→ -9	↓ -28	34	52	36	33	39	Cases ceasing to be subject to CP appear to show a positive trend since then end of the Summer. However, evidence of a longer term trend is required.

Ref	Description	Data owner	Data accountability	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	% change from Oct 16	% change from Oct 15	12 month average	12-mnth max value	SN	Nat.	SE Benchmarking Group	November commentary
CP8-QL	Percentage of children subject to a Child Protection Plan seen in the last 15 working days.	Jane White	Navlet Ferron	60.5%	52.2%	59.0%	76.6%	85.2%	73.0%	71.6%	68.3%	76.0%	68.0%	64.0%	64.0%	67.0%	77.0%	↑ 15	↑ 47	70.8%	85.2%	75.6%	63.7%	38.2%	A new weekly tracking system was implemented in October. Managers and social workers are required to list all visits to be carried out for the current week and the following week. Where required any social worker absence can still be covered and recordings of visits are completed more timely. This activity is included in the weekly service performance meetings and so provides a further tracking strategy.
CP9	Number of children subject to Review Child Protection Conferences (RCPCs) in the month	Phil Bullingham	Stuart Webb	78	100	102	86	93	113	100	91	64	105	59	101	89	86	→ -3	↓ -14	91	113	39	40	50	The number of RCPCs has reduced from September to a figure slightly lower than the 12 month average and is therefore at a level which is anticipated.
EH1	Number of children at end of period with Universal Help Plans, or undergoing Universal Help Assessments	Jo Cassey	Jason Murphy	Old measure replaced by EH1a and EH1b	Old measure replaced by EH1a and EH1b	Old measure replaced by EH1a and EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	Old, replaced with EH1a, EH1b	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	See commentary's below (EH1a) - in time, this measure should reflect the number of interventions provided at a Universal Plus or Universal Partnership Plus level as part of the local prevention and Early Help offer, integrated with, and accounting for, activity in other services.
EH1a	Number of Universal Help Assessments (UHAs) started in the month	Jo Cassey	Jason Murphy	New measure from Aug 16	New measure from Aug 16	New measure from Aug 16	New from Aug 16	New from Aug 16	New from Aug 16	77	57	58	24	21	39	35	49	↑ 40	n/a	45	77	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	The number of UHAs, though increasing, remains fewer than in previous months. There is still a system change required in Paris, as currently it does not count UHAs/EHAs which are attached as Word documents, but not opened in the system. The shift to a new EHA should mean the number recorded decreases in the absence of a mechanism to count all EHAs completed in all services.
EH1b	Number of Universal Help Plans (UHPs) opened in the month (includes UHPs completed, and those still open at end of period)	Jo Cassey	Jason Murphy	New measure from Aug 16	New measure from Aug 16	New measure from Aug 16	New from Aug 16	New from Aug 16	New from Aug 16	367	368	297	170	121	107	99	113	↑ 14	n/a	205	368	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	The number of open UHPs exceeds the number of UHAs and follows the principle that a plan does not necessarily require a new assessment. Instead, a pre-existing assessment can be used to support the completion of a plan.
EH2	Number of Children In Need (CiN) at end of period (all open cases, excluding UHPs, UHAs, CPP and LAC)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	919	1171	1250	1270	1313	1347	1378	1374	1424	1439	1271	1298	1271	944	↓ -26	↓ -19	1298	1439	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	
EH3	Number of Single Assessments completed	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	258	186	185	247	195	230	282	279	189	143	252	177	227	286	↑ 26	↑ 54	224	286	296	302	353	
EH3a%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 10 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	14.7%	14.6%	15.0%	9.5%	9.1%	8.0%	↓ -12	n/a	11.8%	15.0%	Local measure	23.0%	13.0%	
EH3b%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 11-25 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	26.0%	26.8%	20.3%	20.0%	35.0%	26.9%	↓ -23	n/a	25.8%	35.0%	Local measure	23.0%	27.0%	
EH3c%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 26-35 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	27.5%	12.2%	15.6%	17.0%	10.6%	16.8%	↑ 58	n/a	16.6%	27.5%	Local measure	19.0%	20.0%	
EH3d%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed within 36-45 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	11.8%	15.9%	17.3%	18.5%	6.1%	10.8%	↑ 77	n/a	13.4%	18.5%	Local measure	22.0%	20.0%	
EH3e%	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed over 45 days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New measure from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	New from Nov 16	20.1%	30.5%	31.9%	35.0%	39.2%	37.4%	→ -5	n/a	32.3%	39.2%	Local measure	13.0%	20.0%	
EH4 (val)	Number of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	193	137	120	147	124	137	148	172	151	103	171	111	139	179	↑ 29	↑ 31	142	179	287	299	240	
EH4-QL	Percentage of Single Assessments (SA) completed in 45 working days	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	74.8%	73.7%	64.9%	59.5%	63.6%	59.6%	52.5%	61.6%	79.9%	72.0%	67.9%	62.7%	61.2%	62.6%	→ 2	↓ -15	64.0%	79.9%	96.8%	81.5%	67.9%	
EH5-QL	Number of children open to the authority who have been missing at any point in the period (count of children)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	18	25	26	22	23	37	41	50	52	47	40	56	67	58	↓ -13	↑ 132	43	67	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	
LAC1	Number of Looked after Children at end of period	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	614	613	606	605	605	591	592	609	611	612	603	606	605	602	→ 0	→ -2	604	612	450	464	520	

Ref	Description	Data owner	Data accountability	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	% change from Oct 16	% change from Oct 15	12 month average	12-mnth max value	SN	Nat.	SE Benchmarking Group	November commentary
LAC10 (%)	Percentage of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA plan	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	81.9%	81.4%	82.8%	84.1%	86.6%	86.8%	87.5%	88.2%	89.9%	89.5%	90.0%	89.9%	90.4%	90.5%	→ 0	↑ 11	88.0%	90.5%	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	There is a slight increase from last month, which is good - however, the target is 100%. Within weekly performance meetings Team Managers are focused on improving performance and are aware that the target is 100%.
LAC10-QL	Number of Looked after Children with an authorised CLA Plan	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	503	499	502	509	524	513	518	537	549	548	543	545	547	545	→ 0	→ 9	532	549	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	See above (LAC10-QL (%)).
LAC11-QL	Number of Looked after Children aged 16+ or open Care Leavers with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	148	151	151	152	156	157	159	157	156	155	152	150	155	131	↓ -15	↓ -13	153	159	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	N.B. Nov 2016: Cohort changed to include additional care leavers and age range for LAC changed from 15 year 6 months to 16 years 3 months.
LAC11-QL (%)	Percentage of Looked after Children aged 16+ or open Care Leavers with an authorised Pathway Plan	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	New measure from Feb 16	New measure from Feb 16	New measure from Feb 16	New from Feb 16	63.4%	63.6%	64.9%	63.3%	61.7%	61.3%	60.0%	60.0%	61.0%	88.0%	↑ 44	n/a	64.7%	88.0%	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	N.B. Nov 2016: Cohort changed to include additional care leavers and age range for LAC changed from 15 year 6 months to 16 years 3 months.
LAC12 (%)	Percentage of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	27.8%	18.2%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	4.3%	11.1%	33.3%	20.0%	0.0%	5.6%	23.5%	21.4%	5.6%	↓ -74	↓ -69	11.6%	33.3%	10.0%	11.0%	9.0%	
LAC12 (val)	Number of Special Guardianship Orders (SGOs) (E43, E44)	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	5	2	0	2	0	1	2	3	2	0	1	4	3	1	↓ -67	↓ -50	2	4	2	6	5	
LAC13	Number of <b>current</b> unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children looked after at end of period	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	New measure from Jun 16	New measure from Jun 16	New measure from Jun 16	New from Jun 16	New from Jun 16	New from Jun 16	New from Jun 16	New from Jun 16	4	6	5	5	7	11	↑ 57	n/a	6	11	17	40	95	
LAC14	Number of <b>new</b> unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	New measure from Sep 16	New measure from Sep 16	New measure from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	New from Sep 16	0	2	0	0	1	4	↑ 300	n/a	1	4	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	
LAC1-NI	Looked after Children rate per 10,000	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	128	128	127	126	126	123	124	127	124	125	123	123	123	122	→ 0	→ -4	124	127	76	60	54	This remains high due to high number of Looked after Children (LAC), however, there is a LAC reduction programme in place which is being managed by a Service Manager.
LAC2	Number of new Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	9	9	6	14	16	16	24	16	13	11	8	25	8	14	↑ 75	↑ 56	14	25	17	18	22	The number of children coming into care remains high. A Service Manager has been tasked to review all new cases to ensure that the right children are being placed in care.
LAC3	Number of ceasing Looked after Children (episodes)	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	18	11	9	14	10	23	18	9	10	14	18	17	14	18	↑ 29	↑ 64	15	23	17	18	20	This figure remains consistent and is in line with the LAC reduction plan - however, for the plan to have an effect, the number of children coming into care needs to reduce.
LAC6 (%)	Percentage of adoptions (E11, E12)	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	27.8%	45.5%	33.3%	28.6%	10.0%	56.5%	27.8%	22.2%	30.0%	35.7%	50.0%	29.4%	35.7%	22.2%	↓ -38	↓ -51	31.8%	56.5%	18.2%	16.0%	Not available	This figure has remained consistent and the number of children leaving care through adoption remains high.
LAC6 (val)	Number of adoptions (E11, E12)	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	5	5	3	4	1	13	5	2	3	5	9	5	5	4	↓ -20	↓ -20	5	13	3	3	3	As above (LAC6 (%)).
LAC7-QL	Percentage of Looked after Children visited within timescales	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	73.3%	61.3%	69.8%	65.6%	71.1%	59.1%	76.5%	75.0%	73.0%	66.0%	66.0%	69.0%	70.0%	76.0%	→ 9	↑ 24	69.8%	76.5%	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	There is a drive to ensure that teams are meeting the statutory expectation to complete visits in timescales. This figure should continue to increase as Team Managers are being made accountable for ensuring visits are on time.
LAC9	IFA placements as a percentage of all looked after children	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	30.6%	30.0%	29.9%	30.2%	29.1%	28.6%	30.6%	28.7%	26.7%	26.5%	25.9%	24.9%	26.0%	26.4%	→ 2	↓ -12	27.8%	30.6%	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	The use of Independent Fostering Agencies (IFA) has remained consistent over the past two quarters. The aim is to reduce IFA placements, however, recruitment and assessment of in-house carers for the more challenging age range is under the target for this area. Development work has been undertaken at Corporate level via PA Consulting, and the service is awaiting the results to implement.
LAC9 (val)	Number of IFA placements	Jane White	Lisa-Marie Minnis	188	184	181	183	176	169	181	175	163	162	156	151	157	159	→ 1	↓ -14	168	183	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	As above (LAC9).
M1	Number of contacts received (includes contacts that become referrals)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	1009	1139	1053	1154	1013	1179	1062	1411	1256	1395	1377	1480	1547	1534	→ -1	↑ 35	1288	1547	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	
M2	Number of new referrals of Children In Need (CIN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	306	341	302	346	326	306	267	324	334	373	300	417	320	208	↓ -35	↓ -39	319	417	379	350	425	
M2-NI	Number of new referrals of Children in Need (CIN) rate per 10,000 (0-17 year olds)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	64	71	63	72	68	64	56	68	70	76	61	85	65	42	↓ -35	↓ -41	66	85	747	548	509	

Ref	Description	Data owner	Data accountability	Oct 15	Nov 15	Dec 15	Jan 16	Feb 16	Mar 16	Apr 16	May 16	Jun 16	Jul 16	Aug 16	Sep 16	Oct 16	Nov 16	% change from Oct 16	% change from Oct 15	12 month average	12-mnth max value	SN	Nat.	SE Benchmarking Group	November commentary
M3	Percentage of all contacts that become new referrals of Children In Need (CiN)	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	30.3%	29.9%	28.7%	30.0%	32.2%	26.0%	25.1%	23.0%	26.6%	26.7%	21.8%	28.2%	20.7%	13.6%	↓ -34	↓ -55	25.2%	32.2%	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	
M4	Number of new referrals of children aged 13+ where child sexual exploitation was a factor	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	7	10	5	7	6	5	6	6	4	3	3	6	3	2	↓ -33	↓ -80	5	7	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	
M5	Number of children receiving Universal Help services who are stepped up for Children In Need (CiN) assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	14	32	14	17	28	22	29	41	36	28	37	22	27	30	↑ 11	→ -6	28	41	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	
M6-QL	Percentage of referrals which are re-referrals within one year of a closure assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	18.6%	28.7%	22.8%	20.8%	15.6%	21.9%	19.9%	26.2%	23.7%	20.1%	20.7%	19.7%	23.1%	20.2%	↓ -13	↓ -30	21.2%	26.2%	23.6%	24.0%	27.7%	
M6-QL (val)	Number of referrals which are re-referrals within one year of a closure assessment	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	57	98	69	72	51	67	53	85	79	75	62	82	74	42	↓ -43	↓ -57	68	85	Not available	Not available	314	
M8-QL	Percentage of referrals dealt with by MASH where time from referral received / recorded to completion by MASH was 24 hours / 1 working day or less	Jane White	Catherine Parkin	75.2%	82.8%	61.0%	72.0%	71.4%	80.2%	78.9%	73.0%	79.1%	75.0%	79.0%	76.0%	81.0%	91.0%	↑ 12	↑ 10	76.5%	91.0%	Local measure	Local measure	Local measure	